



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,330	04/16/2004	Michael Movalli	6555-0001-01	7897

22852 7590 03/13/2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER  
LLP  
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

WINTER, JOHN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/825,330

**Applicant(s)**

MOVALLI ET AL.

**Examiner**

John M. Winter

**Art Unit**

3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 32-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 32-56 remain pending.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

The Applicants arguments filed on January 25,2006 have been fully considered. The examiner submits that claimed invention is rejected in view of newly discovered reference Mimata (US Patent 6,070,146).

See following rejection

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32, 37-40, 45-48 and 53- 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare Jr. et al. (US Patent 5,870,723) in view of Griffith et al (US Patent No 4,825,050).

As per claim 32

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses a secure endorsed transaction system, comprising an encoder that generates a unique code from input data comprising transaction data and a human identifier that uniquely identifies a human being,(Column 23 lines 60-67, Column 24, lines 1-17 [The BIA sends transaction information with a biometric PIN code])

a formatter that formats a secure endorsed transaction using the digital signature and the input data, and a verifier that verifies integrity of the secure endorsed transaction by, as a function of the secure endorsed transaction, comparing a stored unique code derived by decrypting the digital signature using the second key with a computed unique code derived from the human identifier and the transaction data. (Column 23 lines 60-67, Column 24, lines 1-17 [The BIA sends transaction information with a biometric PIN code, used to verify the transaction ], also Figure 11 )

Art Unit: 3621

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose a digital signature processor that generates a digital signature by encrypting the unique code using a first key of an asymmetrical key pair that includes the first key and a corresponding second key

. Griffith et al ('050) discloses a digital signature processor that generates a digital signature by encrypting the unique code using a first key of an asymmetrical key pair that includes the first key and a corresponding second key. (Figure 1[first encoder , second encoder etc..]) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Griffith et al ('050) method in order to promote data security.

Claims 40 and 48 are in parallel with claim 32 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 37,  
Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 32, further comprising:  
a biometric input device for receiving signals representing the human identifier that uniquely identifies a human being.(Column 9 lines 62-65)

Claims 45 and 53 are in parallel with claim 37 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 38,  
Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 32, further comprising:  
means for receiving at least one of the first key and the second key.(Figure 4)

Claims 46 and 54 are in parallel with claim 38 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 39,  
Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 38,  
wherein the second key is received from a source external to the system.(Figure 4)

Claims 47 and 55 are in parallel with claim 38 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 56,  
Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 55,  
wherein the second key is used by the verifying means to derive the computed unique code.(Figure 4)

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 33 –36, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare Jr. et al. (US Patent 5,870,723) in view of Griffith et al (US Patent No 4,825,050) and further in view of Mimata (US Patent 6,070,146).

As per claim 33

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 32,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose a smart card input/output device for reading and/or writing data representing secure endorsed transactions from and/or to smart cards.

Mimata ('146) discloses a smart card input/output device for reading and/or writing data representing secure endorsed transactions from and/or to smart cards. (Figure 3)

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 41 and 49 are in parallel with claim 33 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 34

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose means for receiving signals from the smart card device indicating the insertion of a smart card. Mimata ('146) discloses means for receiving signals from the smart card device indicating the insertion of a smart card (Figure 5) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 42 and 50 are in parallel with claim 34 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 35

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose the smart card input/output device includes means for acquiring card data from a smart card for inclusion in a secure endorsed transaction Mimata ('146) discloses the smart card input/output device includes means for acquiring card data from a smart card for inclusion in a secure endorsed transaction (Figure 5) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 43 and 51 are in parallel with claim 35 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 36

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Art Unit: 3621

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose the smart card input/output device includes means for dispatching data representing a secure endorsed transaction to a smart card

Mimata ('146) discloses the smart card input/output device includes means for dispatching data representing a secure endorsed transaction to a smart card (Column 10 lines 44-59) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 44 and 52 are in parallel with claim 35 and are rejected for at least the same reasons

### ***Conclusion***

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is **(571) 272-6713**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at **(571) 272-6712**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

**Washington, D.C. 20231**

or faxed to:

**(571) 273-8300** Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.


Application/Control Number: 10/825,330

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

JMW

March 6, 2006

  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600